

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/721,295	11/26/2003	Yuji Sugimoto	03-039	5488	
23400	7590 05/31/2006		EXAMINER		
POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC 12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE			DINH, TUAN T		
SUITE 101	I LAKES DKIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, VA	20191		2841		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\$				
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/721,295	SUGIMOTO ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Tuan T. Dinh	2841					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communic NDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)					
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M	arch 2006.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merit	ts is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	c)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
44)[]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152	2.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	l 19(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		eceived in this National Stage					
* 0	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		and a					
	bee the attached detailed Office action for a list (or the certified copies not re	ceived.					
Attachmen	ric)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/l	Mail Date					
inforr (ک Pape	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/03,11/05,04/06</u> .	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election of Specie I (figures 1-3, claims 1-12) in the reply filed on 03/14/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over APA (figure 4) in view of Matsumoto (GB 2,265,760, submitted by applicant).

Regarding claims 1-12, PAP, figure 4 discloses a meter unit having a housing and an indicative portion (claims 5-12), a printed circuit board formed within the housing, the printed board having a plurality of components, a wiring pattern, regulator, and a high frequency circuit (CPU or IC), see figure 4.

APA does not disclose the printed circuit board comprising an electrical conductive membrane formed on an insulative membrane and connected to a ground pattern through a connection hole pattern.

Matsumoto shows an electromagnetically shielding printed circuit board as shown in figure 1 comprising a circuit board (1) having a ground layer (9) formed on an insulative layer (8) and connected to a ground pattern (4) on the through hole, see figure 1.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a teaching of Matsumoto employed in the APA (figure 4) in order to reduce a noise of the component formed on the circuit board.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Inoguchi, Kumazawa et al., and Ribak disclose related art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-1929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kammie Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,295

Art Unit: 2841

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 4

Tuan Dinh

May 22, 2006.